



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/846,607 04/30/2001 | | 04/30/2001 | Gerard Harbers | PHNL 000222 | 4771 |
| 24737 | 7590 | 04/29/2005 | | EXAM | IINER |
| PHILIPS | INTELLE | CTUAL PROPER | NGUYEN, CHANH DUY | | |
| P.O. BOX | 3001 | | | | , |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2675 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/846,607 | HARBERS ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Chanh Nguyen | 2675 | | | |

| | Chanh | Nguyen | | 2675 | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on t | he cover sheet | with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the san ving repl tice of A e with 3 | ne day as filing a ies: (1) an amei ppeal (with app 7 CFR 1.114. T | a Notice of ndment, aff eal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) | dvisory A ater than b). ONLY 06.07(f). | action, or (2) the d SIX MONTHS from CHECK BOX (b) | n the mailin WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension a shortened than thre | nd the correspond statutory period to | ling amount or reply orig | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion the | ereof (37 CFR 4 | 1.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior | to the date of fi | ling a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause |
| (a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further co | | ion and/or searc | h (see NO | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or | ter form | for appeal by m | naterially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corragno | andina number (| of finally rei | ected claims | |
| NOTE: The new limitation "wherein an intensity of | - | = | | | nse to an |
| illumination level of the picture to be displayed by | | | | | |
| consideration and/or search since it was not pres | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | 21. See | attached Notice | of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable | if submitted in a | separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will r vided be | not be entered, o low or appende | orb) 🗌 wi d. | II be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercom | e <u>all</u> rejections ι | inder appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the | status of the cla | ims after e | ntry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does N | IOT place the a | pplication i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SE | 3/08 or PTO-144 | 19) Paper I | No(s). Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner | eym |
| , | | | | Art Unit: 2675 | ~ |